

REMARKS

An Office Action mailed November 10, 2003 presented a Restriction of the claims. Applicants hereby elect Group I with traverse. Applicants disagree with the statement in the Office Action on page 3 – “Because these invention are distinct for the reasons given above, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.” Applicants submit that no reasons were presented why Group I was distinct from Groups II and III. Applicants also submit that because the Office Action indicates on page 2 that Groups I, II, and III are all classified in class 707, subclass 100, the searches for Group I would be the same as the search for Groups II and III. Therefore, Applicants request an election of Groups I, II, and III.

Respectfully submitted,

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM^{PLLC}



Michael Smith


Registration No. 39,563

Direct Dial: 206.381.3300

MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this communication is being deposited with the United States Postal Service via first class mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.08 on the date indicated below addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

5/10/04
Date of Deposit



Michelle J. Carman

25315

CUSTOMER NUMBER

- 2 -

ARCE-1-10020A2

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM^{PLLC}

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800
Seattle, Washington 98104
206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301